m

Notice of Allowability	10/696,725	SIERACKI ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Kahelin	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS nerewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIP of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication is surprised in the surprised in the communication is surprised in the communication in the communication is surprised in the communication	this application. If not includ nication will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. $igotimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>the amendment of 10</u>	0/15/2007		
2. $igotimes$ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1,2,5-8,10-23,33,35-41,54 and</u>	<u>56-58</u> .		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received	n No in this national stage applica	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the re	quirements
<ol> <li>A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give</li> </ol>			NOTICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review	( PTO-948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🗍 to Paper No./Mail Date	•	•	
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date			
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CFF	e drawings in the front (not th R 1.121(d).	e back) of
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>			Note the
Attachment(s)	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	; 	
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ormal Patent Application	
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☒ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	Paper No./I	<ul> <li>6. ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413),</li> <li>Paper No./Mail Date <u>20071231</u>.</li> <li>7. ☑ Examiner's Amendment/Comment</li> </ul>	
Paper No./Mail Date <u>20071015</u> 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🗌 Examiner's S	Statement of Reasons for All	owance
of Biological Material	9. 🗌 Other	<u>-</u> -	
N/31/07			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/696,725 Art Unit: 3762

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10-23, 33, 35-41, 54, and 56-58, drawn to a method comprising receiving programming and stay-alive signals, classified in class 607, subclass 60.
  - II. Claims 59 and 60, drawn to a method of reverting to a previously stored program, classified in class 607, subclass 59.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require both programming and stay-alive signals to be received. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a system that changes a mode of operation by sending commands, instead of reverting to a previously-stored program.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number:

10/696,725 Art Unit: 3762

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- During a telephone conversation with Jason Kelly on 12/31/2007 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10-23, 33, 35-41, 54, and 56-58. Claims 59 and 60 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10-23, 33, 35-41, 54, and 56-58 are allowable. The restriction requirement among Species I-IV, as set forth in the Office action mailed on 7/18/2006, has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. Claims 5-7, 15-17, and 35-37, directed to various signals sent to the watchdog unit, are no longer withdrawn from consideration because the claim(s) requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. However, claims 59 and 60, directed to receiving only stay-alive signals are withdrawn from consideration because they do not require all the limitations of an allowable claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

6. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Jason Kelly on 12/31/2007.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claims 59 and 60 have been cancelled.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/696,725 Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MWK

12/31/07

COMPRES P. EVANOUR OF

1/4/5